

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/563,610	ESKELINEN, EERO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL	2167	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** *pending*

(1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Naphtali Matlis, Reg. No. 61,592

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2010

**Time:** noon

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*n/a*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed supplemental examiner's amendment to amend the specification paragraphs [0001] and [0017], which reference the claim numbering which was changed. Attorney agreed to the specification amendments.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexandria Bromell/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2167

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)